

Discussion:

*“Interbank Networks in the Shadows of the Federal Reserve Act”*  
by Haelim Anderson, Selman Erol, Guillermo Ordoñez

Ye Li

University of Washington

LSE Networks Conference

## Overview

- Interbank deposit network for ex ante risk management
    - Banks hold deposits at other banks to buffer liquidity shocks
- Interbank deposits deliver higher returns than cash

## Overview

- Interbank deposit network for ex ante risk management
  - Banks hold deposits at other banks to buffer liquidity shocks  
Interbank deposits deliver higher returns than cash
  - Optimal interbank deposits + cash < the worst realized liquidity shock

## Overview

- Interbank deposit network for ex ante risk management
  - Banks hold deposits at other banks to buffer liquidity shocks  
Interbank deposits deliver higher returns than cash
  - Optimal interbank deposits + cash < the worst realized liquidity shock
  - Interbank borrowing (also a network), ex post

## Overview

- Interbank deposit network for ex ante risk management
  - Banks hold deposits at other banks to buffer liquidity shocks  
Interbank deposits deliver higher returns than cash
  - Optimal interbank deposits + cash < the worst realized liquidity shock
  - Interbank borrowing (also a network), ex post
- A core-peripheral structure for liquidity shock insurance
  - Small banks face idiosyncratic shocks, and they deposit at and borrow from large banks where idiosyncratic liquidity risks are pooled

## Overview

- Interbank deposit network for ex ante risk management
  - Banks hold deposits at other banks to buffer liquidity shocks  
Interbank deposits deliver higher returns than cash
  - Optimal interbank deposits + cash  $<$  the worst realized liquidity shock
  - Interbank borrowing (also a network), ex post
- A core-peripheral structure for liquidity shock insurance
  - Small banks face idiosyncratic shocks, and they deposit at and borrow from large banks where idiosyncratic liquidity risks are pooled
- The costs and benefits of interbank deposit network

## Overview

- Interbank deposit network for ex ante risk management
  - Banks hold deposits at other banks to buffer liquidity shocks  
Interbank deposits deliver higher returns than cash
  - Optimal interbank deposits + cash < the worst realized liquidity shock
  - Interbank borrowing (also a network), ex post
- A core-peripheral structure for liquidity shock insurance
  - Small banks face idiosyncratic shocks, and they deposit at and borrow from large banks where idiosyncratic liquidity risks are pooled
- The costs and benefits of interbank deposit network
  - Ex ante: Large banks invest in illiquid assets → small banks earn return on deposits → insurance via precautionary savings becomes less costly

## Overview

- Interbank deposit network for ex ante risk management
  - Banks hold deposits at other banks to buffer liquidity shocks  
Interbank deposits deliver higher returns than cash
  - Optimal interbank deposits + cash < the worst realized liquidity shock
  - Interbank borrowing (also a network), ex post
- A core-peripheral structure for liquidity shock insurance
  - Small banks face idiosyncratic shocks, and they deposit at and borrow from large banks where idiosyncratic liquidity risks are pooled
- The costs and benefits of interbank deposit network
  - Ex ante: Large banks invest in illiquid assets → small banks earn return on deposits → insurance via precautionary savings becomes less costly
  - Ex post: Liquidity shock → small banks withdraw deposits from large banks → large banks may have to early liquidate illiquid assets and may even fail



## Overview

- Interbank deposit network for ex ante risk management
  - Banks hold deposits at other banks to buffer liquidity shocks  
Interbank deposits deliver higher returns than cash
  - Optimal interbank deposits + cash < the worst realized liquidity shock
  - Interbank borrowing (also a network), ex post
- A core-peripheral structure for liquidity shock insurance
  - Small banks face idiosyncratic shocks, and they deposit at and borrow from large banks where idiosyncratic liquidity risks are pooled
- The costs and benefits of interbank deposit network
  - Ex ante: Large banks invest in illiquid assets → small banks earn return on deposits → insurance via precautionary savings becomes less costly
  - Ex post: Liquidity shock → small banks withdraw deposits from large banks → large banks may have to early liquidate illiquid assets and may even fail

*A large idiosyncratic shock can be systemically important*

## Overview

- How does introducing a central bank affect interbank networks?
  - Central bank provides liquidity and reduces banks' insurance needs
  - Pre- vs. post-Fed: Virginia nonmember banks held deposits at and borrowed from regional member banks or national (e.g., NYC) banks

## Overview

- How does introducing a central bank affect interbank networks?
  - Central bank provides liquidity and reduces banks' insurance needs
  - Pre- vs. post-Fed: Virginia nonmember banks held deposits at and borrowed from regional member banks or national (e.g., NYC) banks
- After the creation of the Federal Reserve System,

## Overview

- How does introducing a central bank affect interbank networks?
  - Central bank provides liquidity and reduces banks' insurance needs
  - Pre- vs. post-Fed: Virginia nonmember banks held deposits at and borrowed from regional member banks or national (e.g., NYC) banks
- After the creation of the Federal Reserve System,
  - Nonmember banks reduced precautionary savings, i.e., interbank deposits and cash, and increased borrowing, relying less on ex ante risk management and more on ex post liquidity injection (indirectly from the Fed)

## Overview

- How does introducing a central bank affect interbank networks?
  - Central bank provides liquidity and reduces banks' insurance needs
  - Pre- vs. post-Fed: Virginia nonmember banks held deposits at and borrowed from regional member banks or national (e.g., NYC) banks
- After the creation of the Federal Reserve System,
  - Nonmember banks reduced precautionary savings, i.e., interbank deposits and cash, and increased borrowing, relying less on ex ante risk management and more on ex post liquidity injection (indirectly from the Fed)
  - Nonmember banks relied more on deposit financing and less on equity, raising liquidity risk exposure

## Overview

- How does introducing a central bank affect interbank networks?
  - Central bank provides liquidity and reduces banks' insurance needs
  - Pre- vs. post-Fed: Virginia nonmember banks held deposits at and borrowed from regional member banks or national (e.g., NYC) banks
- After the creation of the Federal Reserve System,
  - Nonmember banks reduced precautionary savings, i.e., interbank deposits and cash, and increased borrowing, relying less on ex ante risk management and more on ex post liquidity injection (indirectly from the Fed)
  - Nonmember banks relied more on deposit financing and less on equity, raising liquidity risk exposure
  - Nonmember banks' deposits and borrowing become more concentrated, as insurance through counterparty diversification is less valuable

## Overview

- How does introducing a central bank affect interbank networks?
  - Central bank provides liquidity and reduces banks' insurance needs
  - Pre- vs. post-Fed: Virginia nonmember banks held deposits at and borrowed from regional member banks or national (e.g., NYC) banks
- After the creation of the Federal Reserve System,
  - Nonmember banks reduced precautionary savings, i.e., interbank deposits and cash, and increased borrowing, relying less on ex ante risk management and more on ex post liquidity injection (indirectly from the Fed)
  - Nonmember banks relied more on deposit financing and less on equity, raising liquidity risk exposure
  - Nonmember banks' deposits and borrowing become more concentrated, as insurance through counterparty diversification is less valuable
  - Interbank deposits and, to a less extent interbank borrowing, shift from national core (e.g., NYC) to regional core, as the extra risk pooling at the national level becomes less valuable

## Overview

- How does introducing a central bank affect interbank networks?
  - Central bank provides liquidity and reduces banks' insurance needs
  - Pre- vs. post-Fed: Virginia nonmember banks held deposits at and borrowed from regional member banks or national (e.g., NYC) banks
- After the creation of the Federal Reserve System,
  - Nonmember banks reduced precautionary savings, i.e., interbank deposits and cash, and increased borrowing, relying less on ex ante risk management and more on ex post liquidity injection (indirectly from the Fed)
  - Nonmember banks relied more on deposit financing and less on equity, raising liquidity risk exposure
  - Nonmember banks' deposits and borrowing become more concentrated, as insurance through counterparty diversification is less valuable
  - Interbank deposits and, to a less extent interbank borrowing, shift from national core (e.g., NYC) to regional core, as the extra risk pooling at the national level becomes less valuable
  - The changes in network topology were mainly from new banks, and physical distance between connected banks decreased, suggesting that establishing and maintaining linkages is (informationally) costly



## Comment 1: The “Shadows” of the Federal Reserve Act

- Fed member banks face tougher regulations but can access public liquidity
- Nonmember banks are not subject to the supervision of the Fed but still benefit from liquidity provision by the Fed
  - These banks are regulated by the state banking regulators
  - These banks borrow from member banks that in turn borrow from the Fed

## Comment 1: The “Shadows” of the Federal Reserve Act

- Fed member banks face tougher regulations but can access public liquidity
- Nonmember banks are not subject to the supervision of the Fed but still benefit from liquidity provision by the Fed
  - These banks are regulated by the state banking regulators
  - These banks borrow from member banks that in turn borrow from the Fed
- The issue of indirect access to public liquidity backstop is prevalent and a defining feature of shadow banking
  - Example: Banks' liquidity guarantee for special purpose vehicles

## Comment 1: The “Shadows” of the Federal Reserve Act

- Fed member banks face tougher regulations but can access public liquidity
- Nonmember banks are not subject to the supervision of the Fed but still benefit from liquidity provision by the Fed
  - These banks are regulated by the state banking regulators
  - These banks borrow from member banks that in turn borrow from the Fed
- The issue of indirect access to public liquidity backstop is prevalent and a defining feature of shadow banking
  - Example: Banks' liquidity guarantee for special purpose vehicles
- Indirect access is still different from direct access

## Comment 1: The “Shadows” of the Federal Reserve Act

- Fed member banks face tougher regulations but can access public liquidity
- Nonmember banks are not subject to the supervision of the Fed but still benefit from liquidity provision by the Fed
  - These banks are regulated by the state banking regulators
  - These banks borrow from member banks that in turn borrow from the Fed
- The issue of indirect access to public liquidity backstop is prevalent and a defining feature of shadow banking
  - Example: Banks' liquidity guarantee for special purpose vehicles
- Indirect access is still different from direct access
  - Whether a member bank can borrow from the Fed is determined by the Fed that cares about systemic risk, too big to fail, etc.

## Comment 1: The “Shadows” of the Federal Reserve Act

- Fed member banks face tougher regulations but can access public liquidity
- Nonmember banks are not subject to the supervision of the Fed but still benefit from liquidity provision by the Fed
  - These banks are regulated by the state banking regulators
  - These banks borrow from member banks that in turn borrow from the Fed
- The issue of indirect access to public liquidity backstop is prevalent and a defining feature of shadow banking
  - Example: Banks' liquidity guarantee for special purpose vehicles
- Indirect access is still different from direct access
  - Whether a member bank can borrow from the Fed is determined by the Fed that cares about systemic risk, too big to fail, etc.
  - Whether a nonmember bank can borrow from a member bank is determined by the member bank that only cares about its profits
  - Market discipline is still active for the nonmember banks

## Comment 1: The “Shadows” of the Federal Reserve Act

- Fed member banks face tougher regulations but can access public liquidity
- Nonmember banks are not subject to the supervision of the Fed but still benefit from liquidity provision by the Fed
  - These banks are regulated by the state banking regulators
  - These banks borrow from member banks that in turn borrow from the Fed
- The issue of indirect access to public liquidity backstop is prevalent and a defining feature of shadow banking
  - Example: Banks' liquidity guarantee for special purpose vehicles
- Indirect access is still different from direct access
  - Whether a member bank can borrow from the Fed is determined by the Fed that cares about systemic risk, too big to fail, etc.
  - Whether a nonmember bank can borrow from a member bank is determined by the member bank that only cares about its profits
  - Market discipline is still active for the nonmember banks
- The establishment of the Fed lowers the cost of liquidity insurance

## Comment 1: The “Shadows” of the Federal Reserve Act

- Fed member banks face tougher regulations but can access public liquidity
- Nonmember banks are not subject to the supervision of the Fed but still benefit from liquidity provision by the Fed
  - These banks are regulated by the state banking regulators
  - These banks borrow from member banks that in turn borrow from the Fed
- The issue of indirect access to public liquidity backstop is prevalent and a defining feature of shadow banking
  - Example: Banks' liquidity guarantee for special purpose vehicles
- Indirect access is still different from direct access
  - Whether a member bank can borrow from the Fed is determined by the Fed that cares about systemic risk, too big to fail, etc.
  - Whether a nonmember bank can borrow from a member bank is determined by the member bank that only cares about its profits
  - Market discipline is still active for the nonmember banks
- The establishment of the Fed lowers the cost of liquidity insurance
  - Was liquidity insurance over-priced before the Fed? Were banks under-insured? Liquidity holdings have positive externalities

## Comment 2: Towards a More Elastic Banking System

- The Federal Reserve Act was to reduce bank runs and to create a more “elastic” monetary system. Has the goal been achieved?



## Comment 2: Towards a More Elastic Banking System

- The Federal Reserve Act was to reduce bank runs and to create a more “elastic” monetary system. Has the goal been achieved?
- Nonmember banks reduced liquidity holdings
  - Before the Fed, were banks holding too much liquidity and under-invest in illiquid assets (loans)? Extra liquidity is needed to buffer unnecessary runs.

## Comment 2: Towards a More Elastic Banking System

- The Federal Reserve Act was to reduce bank runs and to create a more “elastic” monetary system. Has the goal been achieved?
- Nonmember banks reduced liquidity holdings
  - Before the Fed, were banks holding too much liquidity and under-invest in illiquid assets (loans)? Extra liquidity is needed to buffer unnecessary runs.
- Nonmember banks raised leverage
  - Before the Fed, were banks issuing too little deposits and relying too much on equity financing? There could be an under-supply of near-money assets.

## Comment 2: Towards a More Elastic Banking System

- The Federal Reserve Act was to reduce bank runs and to create a more “elastic” monetary system. Has the goal been achieved?
- Nonmember banks reduced liquidity holdings
  - Before the Fed, were banks holding too much liquidity and under-invest in illiquid assets (loans)? Extra liquidity is needed to buffer unnecessary runs.
- Nonmember banks raised leverage
  - Before the Fed, were banks issuing too little deposits and relying too much on equity financing? There could be an under-supply of near-money assets.
- What is the gain from an increased degree of liquidity transformation?
  - What happened to the liquidity transformation at member banks? This is another angle to relate to the old literature on the impact on member banks

## Comment 2: Towards a More Elastic Banking System

- The Federal Reserve Act was to reduce bank runs and to create a more “elastic” monetary system. Has the goal been achieved?
- Nonmember banks reduced liquidity holdings
  - Before the Fed, were banks holding too much liquidity and under-invest in illiquid assets (loans)? Extra liquidity is needed to buffer unnecessary runs.
- Nonmember banks raised leverage
  - Before the Fed, were banks issuing too little deposits and relying too much on equity financing? There could be an under-supply of near-money assets.
- What is the gain from an increased degree of liquidity transformation?
  - What happened to the liquidity transformation at member banks? This is another angle to relate to the old literature on the impact on member banks
- Is this moral hazard or a move towards efficiency?
  - Example: Did the increase in deposits lead to higher deposit rates (relative to TBill rates), indicating more abundant near-money assets?

## Comment 3: Information vs. Diversification in Network Formation

- A bank's choice: Cash vs. interbank deposits in liquidity holdings
  - Interbank deposits deliver a higher return than cash
  - Unlike cash, interbank deposits are not 100% reliable

## Comment 3: Information vs. Diversification in Network Formation

- A bank's choice: Cash vs. interbank deposits in liquidity holdings
  - Interbank deposits deliver a higher return than cash
  - Unlike cash, interbank deposits are not 100% reliable
    - ▶ Reliability: Whether shocks are correlated with shocks to its correspondent bank
    - ▶ Shocks to correspondent bank: Asset quality, other depositors' withdrawal, etc.

## Comment 3: Information vs. Diversification in Network Formation

- A bank's choice: Cash vs. interbank deposits in liquidity holdings
  - Interbank deposits deliver a higher return than cash
  - Unlike cash, interbank deposits are not 100% reliable
    - ▶ Reliability: Whether shocks are correlated with shocks to its correspondent bank
    - ▶ Shocks to correspondent bank: Asset quality, other depositors' withdrawal, etc.
- The bank may not be perfectly informed of its correspondent banks' asset and liquidity conditions and the reliability of its interbank deposits

## Comment 3: Information vs. Diversification in Network Formation

- A bank's choice: Cash vs. interbank deposits in liquidity holdings
  - Interbank deposits deliver a higher return than cash
  - Unlike cash, interbank deposits are not 100% reliable
    - ▶ Reliability: Whether shocks are correlated with shocks to its correspondent bank
    - ▶ Shocks to correspondent bank: Asset quality, other depositors' withdrawal, etc.
- The bank may not be perfectly informed of its correspondent banks' asset and liquidity conditions and the reliability of its interbank deposits
  - Local correspondent bank: The bank is better informed, but the local correspondent offers less diversification benefit



## Comment 3: Information vs. Diversification in Network Formation

- A bank's choice: Cash vs. interbank deposits in liquidity holdings
  - Interbank deposits deliver a higher return than cash
  - Unlike cash, interbank deposits are not 100% reliable
    - ▶ Reliability: Whether shocks are correlated with shocks to its correspondent bank
    - ▶ Shocks to correspondent bank: Asset quality, other depositors' withdrawal, etc.
- The bank may not be perfectly informed of its correspondent banks' asset and liquidity conditions and the reliability of its interbank deposits
  - Local correspondent bank: The bank is better informed, but the local correspondent offers less diversification benefit
  - New York correspondent bank: The bank is less informed, but the NYC bank offers diversification benefits

## Comment 3: Information vs. Diversification in Network Formation

- A bank's choice: Cash vs. interbank deposits in liquidity holdings
  - Interbank deposits deliver a higher return than cash
  - Unlike cash, interbank deposits are not 100% reliable
    - ▶ Reliability: Whether shocks are correlated with shocks to its correspondent bank
    - ▶ Shocks to correspondent bank: Asset quality, other depositors' withdrawal, etc.
- The bank may not be perfectly informed of its correspondent banks' asset and liquidity conditions and the reliability of its interbank deposits
  - Local correspondent bank: The bank is better informed, but the local correspondent offers less diversification benefit
  - New York correspondent bank: The bank is less informed, but the NYC bank offers diversification benefits
- After the Fed was set up, banks chose to deposit at local member banks
  - The diversification benefits matter less under public liquidity insurance

## Comment 3: Information vs. Diversification in Network Formation

- A bank's choice: Cash vs. interbank deposits in liquidity holdings
    - Interbank deposits deliver a higher return than cash
    - Unlike cash, interbank deposits are not 100% reliable
      - ▶ Reliability: Whether shocks are correlated with shocks to its correspondent bank
      - ▶ Shocks to correspondent bank: Asset quality, other depositors' withdrawal, etc.
  - The bank may not be perfectly informed of its correspondent banks' asset and liquidity conditions and the reliability of its interbank deposits
    - Local correspondent bank: The bank is better informed, but the local correspondent offers less diversification benefit
    - New York correspondent bank: The bank is less informed, but the NYC bank offers diversification benefits
  - After the Fed was set up, banks chose to deposit at local member banks
    - The diversification benefits matter less under public liquidity insurance
- More interbank deposits are held by informed nonmember banks
- Positive disciplinary effects on the member banks? Unintended consequences of network changes

## Summary

- First and foremost, what a beautiful title!!

## Summary

- First and foremost, what a beautiful title!!
- In the shadows of the Federal Reserve Act, we have
  - Nonmember banks indirectly access public liquidity through member banks
  - Nonmember banks changed balance-sheet composition, interbank linkages

## Summary

- First and foremost, what a beautiful title!!
- In the shadows of the Federal Reserve Act, we have
  - Nonmember banks indirectly access public liquidity through member banks
  - Nonmember banks changed balance-sheet composition, interbank linkages
- 1 Nonmember banks benefited from public liquidity without Fed supervision
  - Indirect access to public liquidity is still subject to market discipline
  - Nonmember banks may be under-insured against liquidity risk before

## Summary

- First and foremost, what a beautiful title!!
  - In the shadows of the Federal Reserve Act, we have
    - Nonmember banks indirectly access public liquidity through member banks
    - Nonmember banks changed balance-sheet composition, interbank linkages
- 1 Nonmember banks benefited from public liquidity without Fed supervision
    - Indirect access to public liquidity is still subject to market discipline
    - Nonmember banks may be under-insured against liquidity risk before
  - 2 After the Fed, nonmember banks increased liquidity transformation
    - Moral hazard or a move towards efficient level of liquidity transformation?

## Summary

- First and foremost, what a beautiful title!!
  - In the shadows of the Federal Reserve Act, we have
    - Nonmember banks indirectly access public liquidity through member banks
    - Nonmember banks changed balance-sheet composition, interbank linkages
- 1 Nonmember banks benefited from public liquidity without Fed supervision
    - Indirect access to public liquidity is still subject to market discipline
    - Nonmember banks may be under-insured against liquidity risk before
  - 2 After the Fed, nonmember banks increased liquidity transformation
    - Moral hazard or a move towards efficient level of liquidity transformation?
  - 3 Richer implications from the changes of network topology
    - Information vs. diversification in network formation
    - Unintended benefits of the Federal Reserve Act: Did the establishment of the Fed change the information environment?



## Summary

- First and foremost, what a beautiful title!!
- In the shadows of the Federal Reserve Act, we have
  - Nonmember banks indirectly access public liquidity through member banks
  - Nonmember banks changed balance-sheet composition, interbank linkages
- 1 Nonmember banks benefited from public liquidity without Fed supervision
  - Indirect access to public liquidity is still subject to market discipline
  - Nonmember banks may be under-insured against liquidity risk before
- 2 After the Fed, nonmember banks increased liquidity transformation
  - Moral hazard or a move towards efficient level of liquidity transformation?
- 3 Richer implications from the changes of network topology
  - Information vs. diversification in network formation
  - Unintended benefits of the Federal Reserve Act: Did the establishment of the Fed change the information environment?

*Disclaimer: I have ongoing collaboration with the Fed.*